



# Existence of Positive Solutions For a Class of Multi-Point P-Laplacian Nonlinear System

R. Sahandi Torogh

Department of Mathematics, Varamin-Pishva Branch, Islamic Azad University, Varamin, Iran

E-mail: [sahandi@iauvaramin.ac.ir](mailto:sahandi@iauvaramin.ac.ir)

(Received Nov 2014; Published Dec 2014)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper the existence of positive solutions for a class of p-Laplacian boundary value system is studied. In recent years, boundary value problem have received a lot of attention. The fixed point theorems in cones is our main tools to prove the existence of solutions. I provide sufficient conditions under which these systems has positive solutions. I establish some propositions to prove the existence of positive solutions for these equation.

**Keywords:** Fixed point theorem; p-Laplacian operator; positive solutions

DOI:10.14331/ijfps.2014.330078

## INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove the existence of positive solutions for the following system,

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + f(t, u, v) = 0 \\ (\phi_p(v'))' + g(t, u, v) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u(\xi_i) = 0 & v(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i v(\xi_i) = 0 \\ u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u(\xi_i) = 0 & v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i v(\xi_i) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(s) &= |s|^{p-2}s, \quad p > 1, \quad \phi_q = (\phi_p)^{-1}, \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} &= 1, \quad \xi_i \in (0,1) \end{aligned}$$

with  $0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_n < 1$ .

$H_1) \alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \partial_i \in [0, +\infty)$  and

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i < 1,$$

$$f, g \in C([0,1] \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty), (-\infty, +\infty))$$

$$f(t, 0, 0), g(t, 0, 0) \geq 0, f(t, 0, 0), g(t, 0, 0) \neq 0.$$

In recent years, BVP have received a lot of attention. There are many papers concerned with the p-Laplacian equations. For example (Liang & Zhang, 2009; Pang, Lian, & Ge, 2007; Sun & Ge, 2007) have studied the existence of positive solutions for some boundary value problems. (Harjani, López, & Sadarangani, 2011) have studied the fixed point theorems in metric spaces. The existence of multiple positive solutions to the boundary value problem was studied by (Ji, Feng, & Ge, 2008).

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + q(t)f(t, u) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u(\xi_i), u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u(\xi_i) \end{cases}$$

## DEFINITIONS

**Definition (1)** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space and a non-empty, closed, convex C subset of X is called a Cone of X, If it satisfies the following conditions: i) If  $x \in C$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  implies that  $\lambda x \in C$ . ii) If  $x \in C$  and  $-x \in C$  implies that  $x = 0$ . Every cone C subset of X includes an ordering in X which is given by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in C$ .

**Definition (2)** A map  $\psi: P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called nonnegative continuous concave functional provided  $\psi$  is nonnegative, continuous and satisfies  $\psi(tx + (1-t)y) \geq$

$t\psi(x) + (1 - t)\psi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly, we say the map  $\beta$  is a nonnegative continuous convex functional on a cone  $P$  of  $X$ :  $\beta: P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous and  $\beta(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq t\beta(x) + (1 - t)\beta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition (3).** An operator is called completely continuous if it be continuous and maps bounded sets into pre-compact sets. The main tool of this paper is the following lemma,

**Lemma (4) (Lan, 2001)** Let  $B$  be an open bounded subset of  $X$  with  $B_k = B \cap K \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $T: \overline{B_k} \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous operator such that  $Tx \neq x$  for  $x \in \partial B_k$ , then the following results hold:

- i) If  $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$  for  $x \in \partial \Omega_r$  then  $i_k(T, B_k) = 1$
- ii) If there exists  $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \neq Tx + \lambda a$  for  $u \in \partial B_k$  then  $i_k(T, B_k) = 0$
- iii) Let  $U$  be open in  $X$  such that  $\overline{U} \subset B_k$ . If  $i_k(T, B_k) = 1$  and  $i_k(T, U_k) = 0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $B_k \setminus \overline{U_k}$ . The same result holds if  $i_k(T, B_k) = 0$  and  $i_k(T, U_k) = 1$ .

**PRELIMINARIES AND LEMMAS**

Let  $E = C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ . Then  $E$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|(u, v)\| = \|u\| + \|v\|$  where  $\|u\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$ . Define the cone  $K$  subset of  $E$  by

$$K = \{(u, v) \in E \mid u, v \text{ are concaves on } [0,1], u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq t\}$$

In the rest of this paper we assume that  $H_2, H_1$  hold.

**Lemma (5) (Ji et al., 2008)** Suppose  $h(t, m(t), n(t)) > 0$ , for  $m(t) \geq 0, n(t) \geq 0$ , then for  $m, n \in C^+[0,1]$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\phi_p(u')\right)' + h(t, m(t), n(t)) = 0, t \in (0,1) \\ u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u(\xi_i), u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u(\xi_i) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Has a solution

$$u(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(M_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds + \int_0^t \phi_q(M_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds$$

Where  $M_{m,n}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(M_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \phi_q(M_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(M_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then there exists a unique  $M_{m,n} \in (0, \int_0^1 h(t, m(t), n(t)) dt)$  satisfying (3). This implies that there is a unique  $\Delta$  such that

$$M_{m,n} = \int_0^\Delta h(t, m(t), n(t)) dt$$

**Proof.** We define for  $h(t, m(t), n(t)) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{m,n}(l) &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & - \int_0^t \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds + \int_0^1 \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \right) \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & + \int_0^1 \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds - \int_0^t \phi_q(l - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $N_{m,n}: R \rightarrow R$  is continuous and increasing. Then  $N_{m,n}(0) < 0$  and  $N_{m,n}(\int_0^1 h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) > 0$  and there exists a  $l \in (0, \int_0^1 h(t, m(t), n(t)) dt)$  Such that  $N_{m,n}(l) = 0$ . Thus there exists  $\Delta$  such that  $M_{m,n} = \int_0^\Delta h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr$ .

**Lemma(6) (Ji et al., 2008)** Suppose that  $h(r, m(r), n(r)) > 0$  for  $m, n \in C^+[0,1]$ . Then the solution of BVP (2) can also be expressed,

$$u(t) = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i} \int_{\xi_i}^1 \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds - \int_t^1 \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds, \quad (4)$$

Where  $N_{m,n}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \int_{\xi_i}^1 \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & - \int_0^t \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds + \int_0^1 \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\xi_i}^1 \phi_q(N_{m,n} - \int_0^s h(r, m(r), n(r)) dr) ds = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a unique  $N_{m,n} \in (0, \int_0^1 h(t, m(t), n(t))dt)$  satisfying (5). This implies that there is a unique  $\Delta' \in (0,1)$  such that  $N_{m,n} = \int_0^{\Delta'} h(r, m(r), n(r))dr$ .

**Lemma (7)** (Ji et al., 2008) If  $h(r, m(r), n(r)) > 0$  for  $m, n \in C^+[0,1]$ . Then the solution  $u(t)$  of (2) has the following properties,

- i)  $u(t)$  is concave on  $(0,1)$  and  $u(t) \geq 0$ ,
- ii) there exists a unique  $t_0 \in (0,1)$  such that  $u(0) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} u(t)$ ,  $u'(t_0) = 0$ ,
- iii)  $\Delta = \Delta' = t_0$ .

**Lemma (8)** (Wang & Zhang, 2006) Let  $u(t) \geq 0, u$  is concave on  $[0,1]$ ,  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  then  $u(t) \geq \eta \|u\|$ ,  $t \in [\eta, 1 - \eta]$ . We define  $\varphi(t) = \min \{t, 1 - t\}$ ,  $t \in (0,1)$ ,

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{\eta \min \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \phi_q \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds, \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\eta} \phi_q \left( s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right\} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)}{\int_0^1 \phi_q(1-s) ds}$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\eta \min \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \phi_q \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds, \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\eta} \phi_q \left( s - \frac{1}{2} \right) ds \right\} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i)}{\int_0^1 \phi_q(1-s) ds}$$

$$k_\rho = \{(u, v) \in K \mid \|(u, v)\| < \rho\}$$

$$k^*_\rho = \{(u, v) \in K \mid \rho\varphi(t) < u(t) + v(t) < \rho\}$$

$$\Omega_\rho = \left\{ (u, v) \in K \mid \min_{\eta \leq t \leq 1-\eta} (u(t) + v(t)) < \gamma\rho \right\}$$

$$\Omega_\rho = \left\{ (u, v) \in K \mid \gamma \|(u, v)\| \leq \min_{\eta \leq t \leq 1-\eta} (u(t) + v(t)) < \gamma\rho \right\}$$

**Lemma (9)** Suppose  $\Omega_\rho$  has the following properties (Lan, 2001),

- i)  $\Omega_\rho$  is open relative to  $K$ ,
  - ii)  $k_{\gamma\rho} \subset \Omega_\rho \subset k_\rho$ ,
  - iii)  $(u, v) \in \partial\Omega_\rho$  if and only if  $\min_{\eta \leq t \leq 1-\eta} (u(t) + v(t)) = \gamma\rho$
  - iv) If  $(u, v) \in \partial\Omega_\rho$  then  $\gamma\rho \leq u(t) + v(t) \leq \rho$  for  $\eta \leq t \leq 1 - \eta$ .
- Now, we define,

$$f_{\gamma_1 r}^r = \min \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [\eta, 1 - \eta], u \in [\gamma_1 r, r] \right\}$$

$$g_{\gamma_2 r}^r = \min \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [\eta, 1 - \eta], u \in [\gamma_2 r, r] \right\}$$

$$f_{\varphi(t)r}^r = \max \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [0,1], u \in [\varphi(t)r, r] \right\}$$

$$g_{\varphi(t)r}^r = \max \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [0,1], u \in [\varphi(t)r, r] \right\}$$

$$f_0^r = \max \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [0,1], u \in [0, r] \right\}$$

$$g_0^r = \max \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{\Phi_p(r)} \mid t \in [0,1], u \in [0, r] \right\}$$

$$f^\alpha = \lim_{u \rightarrow \alpha} \max \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{u^{p-1}} \mid (t, v) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

$$f^\alpha = \lim_{u \rightarrow \alpha} \max \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{u^{p-1}} \mid (t, v) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

$$g^\alpha = \lim_{v \rightarrow \alpha} \max \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{v^{p-1}} \mid (t, u) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

$$g^\alpha = \lim_{v \rightarrow \alpha} \max \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{v^{p-1}} \mid (t, u) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

$$f_\alpha = \lim_{u \rightarrow \alpha} \min \left\{ \frac{f(t, u, v)}{u^{p-1}} \mid (t, v) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

$$g_\alpha = \lim_{v \rightarrow \alpha} \min \left\{ \frac{g(t, u, v)}{v^{p-1}} \mid (t, u) \in [0,1] \times R^+ \right\}$$

### MAIN RESULT

**Theorem (10)** Suppose that  $H_1$  hold and  $f, g$  satisfy the following conditions:

$H_2$ ) There exist  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $2r_1 < \gamma r_2 < r_2 < 2r_3$  such that

i)  $f(t, u, v) > 0, g(t, u, v) > 0, t \in [0,1], u, v \in [r_1\varphi(t), \infty)$

ii)  $f_{\varphi(t)r_1}^{r_1} < \phi_p(m), f_{\gamma r_2}^{r_2} > \phi_p(M\gamma)$ ,

$$g_{\varphi(t)r_1}^{r_1} < \phi_p(m), g_{\gamma r_2}^{r_2} > \phi_p(M\gamma)$$

$$f_{\varphi(t)r_3}^{r_3} \leq \phi_p(m), g_{\varphi(t)r_3}^{r_3} \leq \phi_p(m)$$

$H_3$ ) There exists  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in (0, +\infty)$  and  $r_1 < r_2 < r_2 < \gamma r_3$  such that

iii)  $f(t, u, v) > 0, g(t, u, v) > 0, t \in [0,1]$ ,

$$u, v \in [\min\{\gamma r_1, r_2\varphi(t)\}, \infty);$$

iv)  $f_{\gamma r_1}^{r_1} > \phi_p(M\gamma), f_{r_2\varphi(t)}^{r_2} < \phi_p(m), f_{\gamma r_3}^{r_3} \geq \phi_p(M\gamma)$ ,

$$g_{\gamma r_1}^{r_1} > \phi_p(M\gamma), g_{\varphi(t)r_2}^{r_2} < \phi_p(m), g_{\gamma r_3}^{r_3} \geq \phi_p(M\gamma)$$

Then system (1.1) has two positive solutions in  $K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $H_2$  holds. We define

$$\bar{f}(t, u, v) = \begin{cases} f(t, u, v), u \geq r_1\varphi(t), & (4.1) \\ f(t, r_1\varphi(t), v(t)), 0 \leq u < r_1\varphi(t) \end{cases}$$

(6)

$$\bar{g}(t, u, v) = \begin{cases} g(t, u, v), u \geq r_1\varphi(t) \\ g(t, u, r_1\varphi(t)), 0 \leq u < r_1\varphi(t) \end{cases}$$

So  $\bar{f}(t, u, v) \in C([0,1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ ,

$\bar{g}(t, u, v) \in C([0,1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$

We define an operator

$$T: K \rightarrow E, T(u, v) = (T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))$$

$$(T_1(u, v))(t) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_u} \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} + \int_0^t \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_u} \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds, 0 \leq t \leq \sigma_u \\ & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \int_{\xi_i}^1 \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_u} \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i} + \int_t^1 \phi_{q_1}(\int_{\sigma_u}^s \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds, \sigma_u \leq t \leq 1 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

$$(T_2(u, v))(t) = \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_v} \bar{g}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} + \int_0^t \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_v} \bar{g}(r, u, v) dr) ds, 0 \leq t \leq \sigma_v \\ & \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i \int_{\xi_i}^1 \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_v} \bar{g}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i} + \int_t^1 \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_v} \bar{g}(r, u, v) dr) ds, \sigma_v \leq t \leq 1 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Then  $T: K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous. We know that for any  $(u, v) \in K$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & -(\phi_p((T_1(u, v))))'(t) = (\bar{f}(t, u(t), v(t))) \geq 0, \quad t \in (0,1) \\ & -(\phi_p((T_2(u, v))))'(t) = (\bar{g}(t, u(t), v(t))) \geq 0 \\ & (T_1(u, v))(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (T_1(u, v))(\xi_i), (T_1(u, v))(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i (T_1(u, v))(\xi_i) \\ & (T_2(u, v))(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (T_2(u, v))(\xi_i), (T_2(u, v))(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i (T_2(u, v))(\xi_i), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (8)$$

From lemma 7,  $(T(u, v))(t) = (T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))(t)$  is concave on  $[0,1]$ , for  $(u, v) \in K$ . Then  $TK \subset K$ . The proof of T is completely continuous is similar to that (Ma, Du, & Ge, 2005). Consider modified problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left( \phi_p(u') \right)' + \bar{f}(t, u, v) = 0 \\ & \left( \phi_p(v') \right)' + \bar{g}(t, u, v) = 0 \end{aligned} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & v(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i v(\xi_i) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u(\xi_i), \\ & v(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i v(\xi_i) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i u(\xi_i), \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned} \right.$$

By condition  $H_2$  (ii) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{\phi(t)r_1}^{r_1} &< \phi_p(m), \bar{f}_{\gamma r_2}^{r_2} > \phi_p(M\gamma), \\ \bar{g}_{\phi(t)r_1}^{r_1} &< \phi_p(m), \bar{g}_{\gamma r_2}^{r_2} > \phi_p(M\gamma), \\ \bar{f}_{\phi(t)r_3}^{r_3} &\leq \phi_p(m), \bar{g}_{\phi(t)r_3}^{r_3} \leq \phi_p(m), \end{aligned}$$

By  $(H_2)$  we prove that  $i_k(T, k_{2r_1}^*) = 1$ . For  $(u, v) \in \partial k_{2r_1}^*$ , from (7) and  $\bar{f}_{\phi(t)r_1}^{r_1} < \phi_p(m)$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1(u, v))(t) &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^{\xi_i} \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_u} \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \\ &+ \int_0^{\sigma_u} \phi_q(\int_s^{\sigma_u} \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds \leq \\ &\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_0^1 \phi_q(\int_s^1 \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \\ &+ \int_0^1 \phi_q(\int_s^1 \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds \\ &= \frac{\int_0^1 \phi_q(\int_s^1 \bar{f}(r, u, v) dr) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} \\ &< \frac{mr_1 \int_0^1 \phi_q(1-s) ds}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i} = r_1 = \frac{\|(u, v)\|}{2}, \text{ so } \|T_1(u, v)\| \leq \frac{\|(u, v)\|}{2} = \rho_1 \end{aligned}$$

similarly, we obtain  $\|T_2(u, v)\| \leq \frac{\|(u, v)\|}{2}$  then

$$\|T(u, v)\| \leq \|(u, v)\|, (u, v) \in \partial K_{2r_1}^*.$$

From lemma (4) (i) this implies that  $i_k(T, K_{2r_1}^*) = 1$ . Now, we show that  $i_k(T, \Omega_{r_2}) = 0$  suppose that  $a \in \partial k_1$  and  $\|a(t)\| = \|(a_1(t), a_2(t))\| = 1, t \in [0,1]$ . We prove that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \lambda a(t), (u, v) \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}, \lambda \geq 0.$$

Otherwise, there exists  $(u_0, v_0) \in \partial \Omega_{r_2}, \lambda_0 \geq 0$  Such that  $(u_0, v_0) = T(u_0, v_0) + \lambda_0 a$ , i.e.

$$(u_0, v_0) = (T_1(u_0, v_0) + \lambda_0 a_1, T_2(u_0, v_0) + \lambda_0 a_2),$$

$$\text{So we have } u_0(t) = T_1(u_0, v_0) + \lambda_0 a_1(t), (4.3).$$

We consider two cases:

i)  $\sigma_u < \frac{1}{2}$ : from (7) and lemma (7), for  $t \in [\eta, 1 - \eta]$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0(t)\| &= \|T_1(u_0, v_0)(t) + \lambda_0 a_1(t)\| \geq \\ &\eta \|T_1(u_0, v_0)(t)\| + \lambda_0 \geq \\ &\eta \int_{\sigma_u}^1 \phi_q(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \bar{f}(r, u_0(r), v_0(r)) dr) ds + \lambda_0 \\ &\geq \eta \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\eta} \phi_q(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^s \bar{f}(r, u_0(r), v_0(r)) dr) ds + \lambda_0 > > \\ &\eta \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\eta} \phi_q(\phi_p(r_2) \phi_p(M\gamma)) \phi_q(s - \frac{1}{2}) \lambda_0 \\ &= r_2 M \gamma \eta \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-\eta} \phi_q\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) ds + \lambda_0 \geq \gamma r_2 + \lambda_0 \end{aligned}$$

so we conclude that  $\gamma r_2 > \gamma r_2 + \lambda_0$ .

ii)  $\sigma_u \geq \frac{1}{2}$ : from (8) and lemma (8), for  $t \in [\eta, 1 - \eta]$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_0(t)\| &= \|T_1(u_0, v_0)(t) + \lambda_0 a_1(t)\| \geq \eta \|T_1(u_0, v_0)(t)\| + \\
\lambda_0 &\geq \eta \int_0^{\sigma u} \phi_q \left( \int_s^{\sigma u} \bar{f}(r, u_0(r), v_0(r)) dr \right) ds + \lambda_0 \geq \\
&\eta \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_q \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{f}(r, u_0(r), v_0(r)) dr \right) ds + \lambda_0 \\
&\geq \eta \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_q \left( \int_s^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{f}(r, u_0(r), v_0(r)) dr \right) ds + \lambda_0 \\
&> r_2 M \gamma \eta \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_q \left( \frac{1}{2} - s \right) ds + \lambda_0 \geq \gamma r_2 + \lambda_0
\end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- Harjani, J., López, B., & Sadarangani, K. (2011). Fixed point theorems for weakly C-contractive mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 61(4), 790-796.
- Ji, D., Feng, M., & Ge, W. (2008). Multiple positive solutions for multipoint boundary value problems with sign changing nonlinearity. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 196(2), 511-520.
- Lan, K. (2001). Multiple positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 63(3), 690-704.
- Liang, S., & Zhang, J. (2009). The existence of countably many positive solutions for some nonlinear three-point boundary problems on the half-line. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 70(9), 3127-3139.
- Ma, D.-X., Du, Z.-J., & Ge, W.-G. (2005). Existence and iteration of monotone positive solutions for multipoint boundary value problem with p-Laplacian operator. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 50(5), 729-739.
- Pang, H., Lian, H., & Ge, W. (2007). Multiple positive solutions for second-order four-point boundary value problem. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 54(9), 1267-1275.
- Sun, B., & Ge, W. (2007). Existence and iteration of positive solutions for some p-Laplacian boundary value problems. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 67(6), 1820-1830.
- Wang, Z., & Zhang, J. (2006). Positive solutions for one-dimensional p-Laplacian boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 314(2), 618-630.

this implies that  $\gamma r_2 > \gamma r_2 + \lambda_0$ . From these two cases we conclude  $\gamma r_2 > \gamma r_2 + \lambda_0$  which is a contradiction. Then from lemma (4) (ii), we implies that  $i_k(T, \Omega_{r_2}) = 0$ . Similarly we can prove that  $i_k(T, K^*_{2r_1}) = 1$ . Lemma (4) implies that the problem (8) has three positive solutions such that  $(u_1, v_1) \in K^*_{2r_1}$ ,  $(u_2, v_2) \in \Omega_{r_2} \setminus \bar{K}^*_{2r_1}$ ,  $(u_3, v_3) \in K^*_{2r_3}$ . It is clear that these solutions belong to  $[2r_2\varphi(t), +\infty)$  and satisfy the system (1).